



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,198	03/02/2004	Kevin E. Henegar	00509.US1 DV1	1977
25533 75	90 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
PHARMACIA & UPJOHN			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
301 HENRIETT	ΓA ST			DARED MANAGER
0228-32-LAW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALAMAZOO	, MI 49007 _		1625	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,198	HENEGAR, KEVIN E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	March 2005.		
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
•	,		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document		•	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del></del>	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) 7 Office Advanced Company C	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050916	

Application/Control Number: 10/791,198 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. According to paper filed on march 14, 2005, the applicants have amended claim 11.

2. Claims 11-14 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on March 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding obviousness rejections. The applicants have amended claims to overcome indefiniteness rejections. In regard to obviousness rejections, it was clearly stated in the last office action that the therapeutic effect of instant composition is solely due to irinotecan and the therapeutic utility of irinotecan is well known in the prior art. The applicants have not provided any unexpected results of either superior activity or reduced side effects by the instant combination over the prior art known composition comprising irinotecan.

#### Conclusion

- 4. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is now withdrawn.
- 5. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are maintained for the reasons of record.

## NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/791,198

Art Unit: 1625

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 7. Claims 11-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 6 and 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,729. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Irinotecan or a salt prepared by using 4-amino-3-propionylphenyl-1,4'-bipiperidine-1'-carboxylate or a salt is claimed in the cited patent and furthermore, the therapeutic utility of irinotecan for treating cancer is well known in the prior art.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,198

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625

Page 4